

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 09/503,760 02/14/00 STONE C 11610US02 **EXAMINER** IM22/0619 McAndrews, Held & Malloy, LTd ZITOMER, E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor Chicago IL 60661 4 1713 DATE MAILED: 06/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/503,760 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Stone et al.

Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit

	Fred Zitomer	1713	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	ept for formal matters, prosecutio , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the me	rits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fa application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ex 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ailure to respond within the period	for response	will cause the
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are p	ending in the	application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are w	ithdrawn from	consideration.
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)			
Claim(s)			to.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	objected to by the Examiner. is bpproved ner. iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a)		
☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Series			
received in this national stage application from		tule 17.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic).	· ·
	,		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, Pi Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 09/503,760

Art Unit: 1713

1.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-27,28*-30 and 56, drawn to membranes, classified in class 525, subclass
 50+.
- II. Claims 28* and 31-48, drawn to ion exchange membranes, classified in class 521, subclass 27.
- III. Claims 49-55, drawn to membrane articles, classified in class 429, subclass 122+.
- IV. Claims 57-63, drawn to methods of preparing membranes, classified in class 525, subclass 50+.
- * The claim reads on both neutral and ion exchange membranes.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a component in devices for separating neutral compounds and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 09/503,760

Art Unit: 1713

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions of Group IV and Groups I or II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the products as claimed can be made by the materially different process of bonding a polymer to a preformed polymer base.

Inventions of Group II and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in the materially different process of making a desalination apparatus.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: polymer membranes.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,760

Art Unit: 1713

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,29,57,58 and 61 are generic.

For whichever group is elected, a proper election requires the identification of a single membrane wherein the base polymer and each grafted monomer unit, including any functional group(s) subsequently added, are specified.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1713

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Zitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2461. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

FRED ZITOMER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1710

Zi S

Zitomer/fz June 13, 2000